

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,012	08/11/2006	Tatiana A. Egorova-Zachernyuk	Q92604	3752	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 01/15/200 ION PLIC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W.			BARNHART, LORA ELIZABETH		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1651		
			MAIL DATE 01/15/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/564,012	EGOROVA-ZACHERNYUK, TATIANA A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lora E. Barnhart	1651		

	Oπice Action Summary		1741000000						
		Examiner	Art Unit						
		Lora E. Barnhart	1651						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In occur, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO pend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ADMONED (SS U.S.C. § 133).  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ADMONED (SS U.S.C. § 133).  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ADMONED (SS U.S.C. § 133).  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ADMONED (SS U.S.C. § 133).								
	Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.							
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	Disposition of Claims								
	4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.							
	Application Papers								
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	Attachment(s)								
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<li>4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li>							
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
ı	Paper No/s //Mail Date	6) Other							



Application No.

Art Unit: 1651

### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-30 as recited in the preliminary amendment filed 1/10/06 with the instant application are currently pending.

## Format and Style of Claims

The preliminary amendment filed 1/10/06 with the application includes changes relative to the originally filed claims; these changes appear to be spelling errors introduced by the use of optical character recognition (OCR) scanning. Specifically, the scientific names in claims 3, 8, and 10 are no longer italicized, and they are replete with spelling errors. Furthermore, extraneous punctuation has been inserted into claim 10. In reply to this Office action, applicant is required to supply a replacement claim listing in which the spelling and formatting of the scientific names are as they were in the original claims.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a method for making a nutrient medium comprising various components, substantially all of the atoms therein that are used by cells for biosynthesis are isotopically labeled.

Group II, claim(s) 13-16, drawn to a first method for using the nutrient medium produced in Group I.

Art Unit: 1651

Group III, claim(s) 17-22, drawn to a second method for using the nutrient medium produced in Group I.

Group IV, claim(s) 23-27, drawn to a nutrient medium comprising various components, 20-100% of the hydrogen atoms in which are deuterated.

Group V, claim(s) 28 and 30, drawn to a first mammalian membrane protein in which substantially all atoms are isotopically labeled.

Group VI, claim(s) 29, drawn to a second mammalian membrane protein in which 20-100% of hydrogen atoms are deuterated.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: They are not unified by a special technical feature.

An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) a product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; (2) a product and a process of use of said product; (3) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; (4) a process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims. See 37 C.F.R. 1.475.

In this case, none of the combinations of categories applies, since there is no claim to the medium produced by the method of Group I per se. As such, there is no inventive unity among Groups I-III.

The medium yielded by the method of Group I and used in the methods of Groups II and III is not the same as the medium in Group IV as claimed. Claim 1 is silent as to the presence of many of the components that are required to be in the medium of claim 23. Furthermore, the degrees to which the components are labeled is disparate: In Group I, "substantially all" of the atoms must be labeled, while the medium of Group IV allows for as few as 20% to be labeled. For at least these reasons, Group IV shares no special technical feature with Group I.

Art Unit: 1651

Groups V and VI are drawn to proteins per se that are not claimed as being produced in or used by any of the methods as claimed. For at least these reasons, Groups V and VI share no special technical feature with the other Groups.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Organisms grown in the method of Group I: Numerous, as in claim 3; elect ONE if Group I is elected. Claim 2 will be examined to the extent it is commensurate in scope with this election.

Source of lipids in method of Group I: Numerous, as in claim 8; elect ONE if Group I is elected.

Source of amino acid hydrolysate in method of Group I: Numerous, as in claim 10; elect ONE if Group I is elected. Claim 9 will be examined to the extent it is commensurate in scope with this election.

Further components in Groups I and IV: Numerous, as in claims 11 and 26; elect ONE particular component from the list of components in these claims. An election of, e.g., "One or more of glucose, fructose, and sucrose" will be considered noncompliant. Applicant should elect ONE molecule from the list in claims 11 and 26 if Group I or IV is elected.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 1651

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 1, 4-7, 12-25, and 27-30.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Pursuant to PCT Rule 13.2 and PCT Administrative Instructions, Annex B, Part 1(f)(I)(B)(2), the species are not artrecognized equivalents. When alternatives of chemical compounds are claimed, they shall be regarded as being of a similar nature where all alternatives have a common property or activity, and either a significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives, or all of the alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds in the art to which the invention pertains. The words "significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives" refer to cases where the compounds share a common chemical structure which occupies a large portion of their structures, or in case the compounds have in common only a small portion of their structures, the commonly shared structure constitutes a structurally distinctive portion in view of existing prior art, and the common structure is essential to the common property or activity. The structural element may be a single component or a combination of individual components linked together. The words "recognized class of chemical compounds" mean that there is an expectation from the knowledge in the art that members of the class will behave in the same way in the context of the claimed invention. In other words, each member could be substituted one for the other, with the expectation that the same intended result would be achieved.

In this case, the organisms in claims 3, 8, and 10 represent different species of organisms that are not art-accepted equivalents. For example, *Pichia* and *Saccharomyces* are yeasts, while *Porphyridium* is a red alga. The components in claims 11 and 26 are not art-accepted equivalents for each other. For example, glucose is a sugar while pyruvate is an alpha-keto acid.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Art Unit: 1651

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lora E. Barnhart whose telephone number is (571)272-1928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lora E Barnhart/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651